*Previous references.*

Govt Order No 15-149-50 8123-5 of 9/5/55

**File No.** 209 of 1904-05

**1905**

( III Courts )

*Later references.*

Same file Sl No 3 to 4
B 1 May 1905

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

## Serial Nos. 1 and 2

**PART B FOR** February 1905

---

SUBJECT.

Permission to the Govt Advocate to appear on behalf of the Police Inspector of Chickballapore in Second Appeal No 85 of 1904-05

---

FILE No. 209 of 1904-05.

*Permission to the Government Advocate to plead on behalf of the Police Inspector of Chikballapur in Second Appeal No. 85 of 1904-05.*

1 From the Government Advocate, No. 111, dated 15th February 1905.
Requests sanction to appear and plead on behalf of the Police Inspector in the above appeal.

2 Government Proceedings No. 2487-8, dated 28th February 1905, to the same and the Inspector General of Police.
Observes that there are no sufficient reasons for permitting the defence being represented by the Government Advocate as the cause of action against the respondents arose apparently in respect of their acts as private individuals, and states that the Police Inspector ought not to have moved the Government Advocate without reference to the Head of his Department nor ought the Government Advocate to have taken any action thereon.

G. R. S. 11] 1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( Courts. )

NOTES.

File No. 2090 of 04-05.

FILE HEADING.

Permission to the Govt Advocate to appear on behalf of the Police Inspector of Chickballapur, in Second Appeal No. 85 of 1904-05.

From

The Government Advocate.

No. 111 Dated 15. 2-05. Received 17. 2-05.

| Diary No. J1728 | Enclos. one. Spare Copies. | Serial No. 1. |
|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards a printed book containing the records of the ~~case~~ original suit and first appeal and memo of 2nd appeal and request for permission to appear for Ramachandra Rao Police Inspector, as desired by him.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

To Record, 4/2/05

Cay V

The office is not aware of Govt sanction having been obtained for employment of Govt Pleader in the original suit & the first appeal. The defendant's statement (vide page 3, printed book) goes to show that

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—25,000—18-8-04

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Govt File No. 209 of 1904-05.

Serial No.

Hay. T

Such sanction was applied for. A copy of G.O. No 1932-85/J137 of 02-03, dt 16-3-04 is put up.

2 Neither the Dy Comr nor the I.G. of Police has made any reference on the subject.

3 The appeal is reported to be posted for hearing on the 3rd March 1905.

Subd for orders.

SN 25/2/05

4 I do not think there are sufficient reasons for permitting the defence being presented in the Chief Court by the Govt Advocate. The cause of action against the Respondents arose in their respective acts as private individuals, as pointed out in the concluding portion of the Memorandum of Judgment (p-10) Return printed book -

5 Draft ready 25-2-05

Subd with a draft order

SN 25/2/05

Perused 25-2-1905

2. Govt Pro. No 2487-8, dt 28.2.05 to the Govt Advocate and the I.G. of Police.

Observes that there are no sufficient reasons

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 209 of 1904 vol

Serial No.

for permitting the defence being ~~undertaken~~ represented by the Govt Advocate, as the cause of action against the Respondents arose, apparently in respect of their acts as private individuals, and ~~point~~ ~~on~~ state that the Police Inspector ought not to have moved the Govt Advocate without reference to the head of his dept and that the Govt Advocate ought not to have taken any action thereon.

Courts. L 1728

No 111

Encl 1 book

Office of the Govt Advocate
in Mysore
Bangalore 15th February
1905

From

The Government Advocate
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Govt of His Highness the
Maharajah of Mysore
Rev & Genl Depts

111
Secn
17/2/05

Sir,

The Police Inspector of
Chickballapur writes a letter asking me
to appear & conduct on his behalf
second appeal No 85 of 1904/05
before the Chief Court. Under the
Proceedings of Govt order No 1932-85
dated the 16th March 1904 I regret
that sanction may be accorded to
My appearance is necessary.
The appeal stands posted to the 3rd Proxime

* Appeal book is enclosed for perusal & return.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

S Ramadenaiken

Governmt Advocate

G.R.S. 28]

3

~~Jdl~~ Courts.

**File No.** 209.

**Serial No.** 2.

*Diary No. of letter replied to* ———

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( courts

, the 28/2/ 1905.

Date of issue 28/2/05.

Signed by Mr K.S. Shanks
& Khan Bahadur

READ.—

Letter No 111 dated the 15th February 1905 from the ~~Govt~~ Govt Advocate in Mysore, stating that the Police Inspector of the Chikballapur Taluk has asked him to appear & conduct on his behalf Second appeal No. 85 of 1904-05 before the Chief Court and requesting that sanction may be accorded to his appearance, if necessary.

~~ORDER THEREON. No.~~

Order thereon. No. J 2487-6
C: 209-2 Courts

SUBJECT

Government do not consider there are sufficient reasons for permitting the defence being represented in the Chief Court by the Govt. Advocate, as the Cause of action against the Respondents arose, apparently in respect of their acts as private individuals, as pointed out in the concluding portion of the judgment of the Munsiff of Valamangala in O.S. No. 226 of 1900-01.

2. The Police ought not to have moved the Govt Advocate to appear for him, without reference to the Head of the Dept, nor ought the Govt-advocate to have taken any action thereon.

Secretary 25/2/05 2.1905

To

The Govt. Advocate in Mysore,

returning the printed Complaint in O.S. of Police in Mysore for information.

Copied by S.K.R.
Examined by SM 27/2/05
27/2/05